



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/758,925 | 01/16/2004 | Christian Knopfle | 60,500-113 | 6539 |

| | | |
|--|------|------------|
| 27305 | 7590 | 10/01/2007 |
| HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151 | | |

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| EXAMINER | |
| SWIGER III, JAMES L | |

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 3733 | |

| | |
|------------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| 10/01/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/758,925 | KNOPFLE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | James L. Swiger | 3733 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James L. Swiger. (3) _____

(2) Preston Smirman. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1,9 and 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: Murray (US Patent 3,960,147) and Shluzas et al. (US Patent 7,004,947).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

EDWARD D. ROBERT
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

 9/21/07

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Representative for applicant requested clarification and discussion of proposed amendments to the claims. Examiner stated that the pressure-exerting jaw, as written in the claims is positively recited, and is considered an element in the device. This was noted as a "pressure point" in the OA dated 8/24/2007. A possible claim amendment was discussed, where the movement of the pressure-exerting jaw was further defined to move independently and transversely to the fixed jaw and peg members. Further amendments may require search and consideration, however, it was agreed that the amendments as discussed had overcome the prior art of record.